



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,305	12/06/2001	Charles E. Prussak	ST-UCSD3140	1335
7590	07/09/2010		EXAMINER	
STACY L. TAYLOR DLA PIPER US LLP Suite 1100 4365 Executive Drive San Diego, CA 92121-2133			GAMBEL, PHILLIP	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/006,305	Applicant(s) PRUSSAK ET AL.
	Examiner Phillip Gambel	Art Unit 1644

All Participants: _____

(1) Phillip Gambel.
 (2) Stacy Taylor.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.
 (4) _____.

Date of Interview: _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

multiple dependent claims

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

examiner invited applicant to amend claim 68 to avoid multiple dependent claim wording. examiner also noted that the multiple dependent claim wording for claims 38 and 79 appeared to be acceptable multiple claim wording according to MPEP 608.01(n)

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Phillip Gambel/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1644

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)